Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/618,961	PEREZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stefan Staicovici	1732
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Stefan Staicovici</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Kent Kokko.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 July 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Those in case		
Claims discussed: 1-21		
Prior art documents discussed: Art of record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Staicovici indicated that claims 1-14 are allowed, but that the rejection of claims 15-21 under 35 USC 102 is still valid. Further, Examiner Staicovici suggested to amend claims 15 and 18 by adding the limitation that the microfibrillated article/layer includes "oriented microfibers of an average effective average diameter less than about 20 microns and a transverse aspect ratio of from 1.5:1 to 20:1" in order to provide clear and supported claim language to define the claims over the art of record. Mr. Kent Kokko agreed to the proposed amendments (see Examiner's amendment).